



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/729,114	12/05/2003	Scott A. Burton	59098US002	3162
32692	7590	09/07/2010		
3M INNOVATIVE PROPERTIES COMPANY PO BOX 33427 ST. PAUL, MN 55133-3427				
			EXAMINER	
			GHALI, ISIS A D	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1611	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/07/2010	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

LegalUSDocketing@mmm.com
LegalDocketing@mmm.com

**Advisory Action
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief**

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/729,114	BURTON ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Isis A. Ghali	1611	

—The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address —

THE REPLY FILED 23 August 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.

1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:

- a) The period for reply expires ____ months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
 b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.
 Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).

Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

NOTICE OF APPEAL

2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on _____. A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).

AMENDMENTS

3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because
 (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
 (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);
 (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
 (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.

NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).

4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).

5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): _____.

6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) _____ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).

7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.

The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:

Claim(s) allowed: _____.

Claim(s) objected to: _____.

Claim(s) rejected: _____.

Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: _____.

AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE

8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).

9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fail to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).

10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). _____

13. Other: _____.

/Isis A. Ghali/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1611

Continuation of 3. NOTE:

The amendment made to claims 1, 19 and 20 introduces new matter by reciting "wherein in the areas where the composition is in contact with the substrate". No support found for this limitation, especially in page 19, where applicants pointed to the support. Further such limitation will require new search and further consideration.

Claim 18 remains rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement.

Claims 1-2, 5-12, 14-30 remain provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 2-8, 11-19, 21-26, 60, 75-78, 82-84, 88-94, 97-101,103-107 of copending Application No. 10/728,577.

Claims 1-2, 5-12, 14-28 remain provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 94, 96-117 of copending Application No. 10/728,439.

Claims 1-2, 5-8, 12, 14-18, 20, 21, and 23-28 remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cilento et al (EP 0512855) in view of Lykke (WO 02/066087).

Claims 9-11, 19, 22 remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cilento in view of Lykke as applied to claims 1-2, 5-8, 12, 14-18, 20-21,23-30 and further in view of the article "SALCARE® SC95" by Ciba®.

The main gist of applicants' argument is that the references lack the limitation of "wherein in the areas where the composition is in contact with the substrate". In response to this argument, it is argued that the reference in combination do not teach obstruction of the substrate with the composition. It is further argued that spreading of the polymer matrix on a substrate or covering by 4x4 gauze does not completely cover the substrate or 4x4, therefore, not all apertures of the substrate are obstructed. The soft tacky dough like polymer matrix can be applied on a substrate in discrete locations, or islands so not obstructed the substrate totally.